

Full Name of Sole
Joint Inventor, If Any Makoto YOSHIDA
Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____
Residence Tokyo, JAPAN
Citizenship Japanese
Post Office Address c/o SHIN-ETSU CHEMICAL CO., LTD., 6-1, Ohtemachi 2-chome,
Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, JAPAN 100-0004

Full Name of Second
Joint Inventor, If Any Takeshi KAMIO
Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____
Residence Tokyo, JAPAN
Citizenship Japanese
Post Office Address c/o SHIN-ETSU CHEMICAL CO., LTD., 6-1, Ohtemachi 2-chome,
Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, JAPAN 100-0004

Full Name of Third
Joint Inventor, If Any Hiroyuki KOIDE
Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____
Residence Tokyo, JAPAN
Citizenship Japanese
Post Office Address c/o SHIN-ETSU CHEMICAL CO., LTD., 6-1, Ohtemachi 2-chome,
Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, JAPAN 100-0004

(An additional sheet(s) is/are attached hereto if the present invention includes more than four inventors.)

*Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56:

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and (1) it establishes by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability; or (2) it refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.